International Journal of Mine Water, Vol. 3 (1), (1984) 41 - 55 Printed in Granada, Spain

A METHOD OF PREDICTING THE POLLUTION POTENTIAL OF SURFACE MINING BACKFILL

R.M. Henderson, B.Sc.*
P.J. Norton, B.Sc., Ph.D., C.Eng., F.I.M.M., M.I.Geol.**
*Marketing & Preparation Officer (Laboratory Services)
**Regional Geotechnical Engineer, N.C.B. Opencast Executive,
Main Street, Crossgates, Fife, United Kingdom.

ABSTRACT

The paper describes a quick and effective method of predicting the acid ferruginous pollution potential of surface mined backfill on sites where the groundwater is likely to rebound through the fill on cessation of mining activity. Continuous cores of the strata to be mined were taken and analysed in the laboratory in order to identify the strata which are potentially acid producing and conversely strata which had a high acid neutralizing capacity which could be used to eliminate acid production. In this way it is hoped that during the mine planning and operational phase steps can be taken to prevent the problem developing. Some of the chemical and physical methods of prevention are also briefly discussed.

INTRODUCTION

With greater excavation depths and strata pollution controls affecting surface coal mining in the United Kingdom some sites have experienced considerable water problems. Many of these problems have now been overcome during the operational phase through the judicious use of advance dewatering techniques[1]resulting from thorough hydrogeological and geotechnical investigation during the mine planning process. However, at some mines once pumping has ceased, the voids are backfilled and the area restored to agriculture there is the possibility, where the original groundwater table was high, of springs appearing at surface formed by water that has passed through the backfill. The breakdown of iron pyrites is greatly increased in the presence of oxygenated water.

Whether these springs will be of acid ferruginous water will depend on a number of coincident factors. Most important of these will be the nature of the backfill material through which the water flows. It is generally agreed[2,3] that the major cause of pollution is the pyrites content of the spoil which reacts with oxygenated water in the following manner:

$$4 \text{ FeS}_2 + 4 \text{ H}_2 \text{O} + 140_2 \longrightarrow 4 \text{ FeSO}_4 + 4 \text{ H}_2 \text{SO}_4$$

Other reactions involving bacterial attack on pyritic minerals also take place and after further oxidation the ferrous sulphate is converted to Ferric hydroxide ${\rm Fe(OH)}_3$ which gives rise to the familiar red acid discharge at surface. The amount of pyritous material and the physical conditions within the fill will control the amount of pollution of the groundwater which in some instances may already be slightly contaminated by passage through abandoned underground mine workings in the surrounding solid strata.

In order to evaluate the possibility of predicting whether pollution would take place a research project was undertaken at a future surface mine site. Air-flush drilling methods were used to obtain core samples of the full sequence of strata to be excavated. These were then given a full geological description and further sub-divided into samples for analysis in the laboratory. Each sample was analysed for pH, Moisture Content, Acid Neutralising Capacity (A.N.C.) and Sulphur Content.

TO SULPHUR ACID MEGRACIA ACID			CORE DIA	BOREHOLE No	SHEET	_		9 5			_		ANALY	SIS RE	SULTS		
Cone Description Dec	50	ESS	0 921	3139	1		9	* E	Sis	28		T	POTENTIAL	(a)	(b)		EXCESS HEU MALIS INC
0 70 PEA1	3 5 0	X E				ůε	2	8 8	4 2	3 X E	Нα				HELPS ALIBING	POTENTIAL	CAPACITY D-Q
0 70 REA1	85.	ا ﴿ يَ	coi	RE DESCRIPTIO	×	1.0] =]	8 8	* *	ν.Ξ	,		SULPHUR	NEOU REMENTA	CAPACITY % COCO		
2 25 SANDSTONE CLAY 2 25 SANDSTONE CLAY 2 2 2 4 3 7 3 0 5 5 7 3 0 3 4 0 3 2 1 0 0 1 4 5 0 - 1 1 1 0 5 6 7 7 0 0 3 4 0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-					+	~	•					1.5			-	
2 85 BOULDER CLAY 2 85 BOULDER CLAY 3 13	- 1	0 70	PEAT				_~										
2 55 BOULDER CLAY 1 1-45 SANDSTONE 4.1 1-45 SANDSTONE 5.28 1 1-1 0-50 71 6-36 0-32 100 1-15 7-16 1-16 1-16 1-16 1-16 1-16 1-16 1-16						0.70											
2 85 BOULDER CLAY 1 1-45 SANDSTONE 1 1-55 SANDSTONE 1 1-45 SAND	- (ļ						į.			ļ		
2 35 BOULDER CLAY 0 70 SOFT SANDSTONE 4.7 1 - 65 SANDSTONE 4.7 1 - 65 SANDSTONE 4.8 0 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.9 1 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.1 1 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.1 1 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.2 1 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.2 1 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.3 1 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.4 0 - 10 SANDSTONE 4.5 0 SANDSTONE 4.7 0 SANDSTONE 4.7 0 SANDSTONE 4.8 0 SANDSTONE 4.8 0 SANDSTONE 4.9 0 SANDSTONE 4.0 0 SANDSTONE 4.	- 1					1						İ			l		
1-15 SANDSTONE	- 1	2 85	BOULDER (LAY													
0.70 SOFT SANDSTONE													ļ				
0.70 SOFT SANDSTONE	- 1																
0.70 SOFT SANDSTONE	1					1							1	1	ì	ì '	
0 70 SOFT SANDSTONE														1			
0.70 SOFT SANDSTONE	ı														!	i	
1 - 45 SANDSTONE						3.55	· · · ·	-	-			 					
1.45 SANDSTONE		0 70	SOFT SAND	STONE		1			l			l	Į.	Į.	ļ	Į	
1 - 45						4 - 25						<u> </u>			-		
1-2 1-80 SANDSTONE									1:1	0 - 50	7-1	6 - 36	0 - 32	1.00	1:65	-	0 65
1-2 1-20 SANDSTONE THE COMMENT				_ course Grounds I'm	ely Miraceous	1		_					0.11	0.77	1.61	_	0 89
1-2 1-80 SANDSTONE	47	1 - 45	SANDSTON	decasional Tun set	ried. In Block Bonds			100		0.45		0.23	· · · ·			-	
2 1 0 95 5.4 0 76 0 73 2 28 0 44 1 8 4 1 8		1		Lightly Statebolined		1			1/3	0.50	7-1	0.04	0.04	0-12	1:58	- '	1:46
32 1 80 SANDSTONE Proceedings Process Proces				_		+ 5.70						1			1	$\vdash -$	
33 1 80 SANDSTONE Control Control 100 2 2 1 100 4 6 12 0 11 0 34 0 23 0 11 44 0 - 90 SANDSTONE PAY OFF LAIR Gains Common 2 90 90 90 90 90 90 90									2.1	0.99	5-4	0.75	0.73	2.28	0.44	1-8 4	-
100				Yery Coores Crains	_			_				1					
## 0 - 10 SANDSTONE CONTRIBUTE CO	53	1.80	SANDSTON	E cooly Bands Fronthined		1		0	<u> </u>			t	t		t	\vdash	
## 0 -30 SANDSTONE OF COLUMN COMMINS OF COLUMN COLU		Ì	1			ì		100						1			
42 1 73 SANDSTONE (MITTER COLUMN STANDS AND STONE MARK COLUMN STANDS AND STONE (MITTER STANDS AND STONE MARK COLUMN STANDS AND S						7 - 50			2 . 2	1 - 50	4-8	0 - 12	0:11	0 - 34	0.53	0-11	-
42 1 73 SANDSTONE (MITTER COLUMN STANDS AND STONE MARK COLUMN STANDS AND STONE (MITTER STANDS AND STONE MARK COLUMN STANDS AND S	46	0.50	SANDSTOR	E Pale Grey Loss Con	eree Greined				1	l	1	1	ļ	İ	Ì		
40 1 90 SANDSTONE Course Course Course From 1100 34 106 5.7 0.20 0.18 0.56 0.71 - 40 1 90 SANDSTONE Course Course Course From 1100 34 100 5.7 0.20 0.18 0.56 0.71 - 40 1 90 SANDSTONE Course Course Course From 1100 34 100 5.7 0.20 0.18 0.56 0.71 - 40 1 90 SANDSTONE Course Course Course From 1100 34 100 5.7 0.20 0.18 0.56 0.72 0.20 0.18 0.56 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72				COPPER CHARM		8 00	1		 	 	 	+	-	├			-
40 1 90 SANDSTONE Note Control		\	į.			1			1	ŀ	1	1	1	{	1	1	1
1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									3-1	1 -50	6-4	0.13	0-12	0.37	0.73	-	0 - 36
1 20 SANDSTONE Medical Control	43	1 - 73	SANDSTON	E Firm Hedrom Crain Pale Cray Sell Fr	red rioble bands			l	1			1	ł	1		İ	
1 10 SANDSTORE Not Circle Course Cou						1			L						1	L .	
1 1 23 SANDSTONE Nat Gray Control County From 100		١		contract track trials		1 . ,,			3 2	0:27	4:7	0 22	0.20	0 62	0.65	340-	0.03
1 1 20 SANDSTONE Course Course Course From 11 00 11 00 12 10 10 12 0 17 0 52 0 26 0 27 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10		-0 04	HUU 3.UME			1		100	-		-	1	1	1			1
1 100											١				1	l _	0.15
40 1 90 SANOSTONE Course Christol to Name 30 6 27 1 19 1 19 0 19 0 19 0 19 0 19 0 19 0 1	63	1 - 23	SANDSTON	E Main Grey Coares	Cremed Firm			j	, ,	1.00	3"	10.70		0 30	1 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ĺ	****
40 1 90 SANDSTONE CONTROL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE		l	ŀ			H-00										1	
40 1 90 SANDSTONE CONTROL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE						Į.		į.	١		١	1			1		-
24 1-12 1-12 1-0.8 2-27 0-76 2-61		i							1 "	0.77	5-1	0.13	0.17	0.53	0.26	0.27	-
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40	1.00	SANDSTON	g Coorse Grained at	Top					_	1	+	+	1	 	+	
1 20	••	~	3411031011	C'AY PARTY COM				0	١		l	1	1	1	١		-
100 0.23 SANDSTONE title familiar decreases 13.48								"	4.2	5 - 23	4.2	1.15	,	3.37	0.76	2.61	-
110		<u> </u>				12 90		}	ì	1	ł i	}	ì	1	ì	ì	1
56 0.12 SANDSTONE details consider date on considerable and correct series and rest Repaired. 15.89 5.4 0.30 1.0 0.61 0.61 0.50 0.61 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5			CLAY	park Cray From F	444	+13 29	in ma		4-3	0 28	7:2	0.14	0.13	0-41	3 81		3 40
56 0.73 SANDSTONE default double date for cover out that Repairs 15.80	100	0 25	SANDSTO	ee silty Brotton Calc	2/40-1	13 - 41	4		5-1	0 - 51	7:3	0:37	0.33	1:03	5-72	-	4 69
56 0.12 SANDSTONE details consider date on considerable and correct series and rest Repaired. 15.89 5.4 0.30 1.0 0.61 0.61 0.50 0.61 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5										 	1		 	 		 	·
56 0.12 SANDSTONE details consider date on considerable and correct series and rest Repaired. 15.89 5.4 0.30 1.0 0.61 0.61 0.50 0.61 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5	62	1 - 17	LIMESTON	E Hord Gray Calcite	on bedding		444		5 2	0 90	7:5	0:18	0.16	0.50	53 49	-	52 99
56 0.73 SANDSTONE default double date for cover out that Repairs 15.80		1				1	ඎ	0	L_		_			<u> </u>	1		_
56 0.12 SANDSTONE details consider date on considerable and correct series and rest Repaired. 15.89 5.4 0.30 1.0 0.61 0.61 0.50 0.61 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.52 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.61 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5		1				14 65									1		
56 0.73 SANDSTONE default double date for cover out that Repairs 15.80	100	0 42	LIMESTON	E 1777 HE-S		15 02	###	1	5 3	1 01	7.4	0.48	0-45	140	11-34	-	9 9 4
11 SANDSTONE Medical Frame Content 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	14	0.71	SANDSTO	gg Medium Crained	Dark Crey	ĺ		1	1	1		1	L	1	<u></u>	l	
1 13 SANDSTONE		"	1	Hard and Plant	Remains	15 80		L	5 4	0 30	7.0	0-62	0.61	1-90	3-37		1 47
89 1 13 SANDSTONE Retains From Cark cry 18 92 93 15 SANDSTONE Retains From Cark cry 18 92 94 15 SANDSTONE Retains From Cark cry 18 92 94 17 1 0 51 0 51 1 59 5 53 1 50 1 50 1 50 1 50 1 50 1 50 1 50			1						1.			0		1		-	4 - 73
0 0 21 SANOSTONE interminations 11 11 1 4 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5		1.13	SANDSTO	NE Medium/Fine Cra	ined	1		1	L.	L	l				1	1	
0 0.21 SANDSTONE As above Companied 11.7 11. 34 6.4 0.27 6.9 0.03 0.03 0.09 3.21 46 0.28 SANDSTONE Services 17.48 6.5 0.26 7.0 0.25 0.23 0.72 4.01	• •	1		Marty Series Fire	- User Cray	1		0								1	4 . 0 4
46 0 28 SANDSTONE PRINCES 17.49 6.5 0.26 7.0 0.25 0.23 0.72 4.01		 														 	3 3 8
0 0 77 SANDSTONE PARTS 17 20 17 17 0 13 0 75 1 47 11 24					~4			1	$\overline{}$							+	3 29
			SANDSTO	IE proten		17 4		_		0 12	7.2						8 77
		1				T	T							1			
		1	1			i	1			1	1		1			1	
		1	l			1	1	1	1	Į.	1	1	1	1	1	1	
		1	1				1	l	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Figure 1 Geological section and analysis results for borehole No. 3139 from 0.0m to 17.70m depth.

From these analyses the quantities of potentially acid producing material could be calculated as a proportion of the whole backfill volume. The actual effect of this material is difficult to ascertain as the weathering effect on the different rock types cannot be accurately assessed. Other factors such as the rate of flow of the groundwater, the permeability of the various layers of fill and the geometry of the void will also effect the rate of the chemical process.

DRILLING AND SAMPLING

The site under investigation lies in a hilly district with an average rainfall of 1400mm/annum. The water table in the area to be excavated appears at surface in the form of natural springs which are non-polluting. The groundwater passes through the strata[4] to be excavated via the natural pore spaces in the rock and also through abandoned mine workings in some of the seams to be worked. As these old working spaces are waterlogged then it is assumed that little oxygen is present in the groundwater and that little iron or sulphur is picked up by the groundwater. As the water table level is high then all the strata sampled can be expected to have groundwater flowing through it and possibly issuing at surface.

The strata to be excavated was drilled using air-flush rotary methods and strata cores of 92.1mm diameter were logged with a full geological description in the field. The cores were taken in positions where the strata was considered to be repesentative of the complete strata to be excavated on site. Core recovery averaged over 95% exceptwhere old workings occur. The core was then transported in core boxes to the laboratory where the strata was further sub-divided into sample units based on lithology and a hand specimen assessment of the sulphur content.

The strata concerned is from the Carboniferous System Limestone Group and as can be seen from the sections in Figures 1 to 7 the strata consists of fine to medium grained sandstones, shaley mudstones, coal and seatearths with the occasional limestone.

LABORATORY ANALYSIS

The principles involved in the laboratory assessment were based on those described in Costigan, Bradshaw and Gemmell in 5 their assessment of the acid producing potential of colliery spoil. The acid producing potential of the material, measured by its pyritic content was related to its Acid Neutralising Capacity (A.N.C.) as measured by reaction with hydrochloric acid.

In this way it was hoped to identify not only the strata which are potentially acid producing, but to estimate the theoretical lime dosage required to neutralise any acid products of oxidation. In addition it was hoped to identify any strata, e.g. limestone or dolomite, with a high Acid Neutralising Capacity which could be blended with the potential acid producing strata thus reducing, if not eliminating, the need for inmixing additional lime or limestone.

The samples from the core drilling were split into subsections which were dried and crushed to minus 3mm size particles. Where necessary the samples were then reduced systematically to give a representative weight of not more than 500g for each subsection. These samples were then further crushed to -0.2mm for analysis.

					_				_		ANALY	215 DE	SIN TS		
	2		3139 SHEET	١.	ا ہ ا	: [£ ‡	SAMPLE THICKNESS (m)	<u> </u>		POTENTAL	(a)	(P)	ACIO	DCE#
110	¥~	0 - 921	3133 2	# (E	E CE NO	2 8	5 5	£2.		SULPHUR	ACID	MEGRET TOTAL	4C10	PORESTILL	CAPACITY
DESIGNA R 0	THICANESS (m)	CORI	DESCRIPTION	2 2	3	CORE BOX M.	AMALYSIS	A S	рН	101AL	PRODUCING	-	CAPACIW	a b	ьа
5 8				_		8.	- 3	-	-	***	SULPHUR	v. CaCo	7.Ca Co 1	(4. CaCa)	(% CaCe)
••	0 73	SANDSTONE	Hadron Free Crampel	1			7 1	0 72	7-0	1.70	1-59	4-96	5.11		0.92
"	"	34403.044	Hedrum Fine Granted Coate sprang and Sprin Symana	18 43									<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>
•	0 44	MUDSTONE	Billy Dark Crey Fam						١	2.93	2.69		. , .	3 62	ì
				10 07			, ,	0 78	٠,	2.93	2,			1	
	- 1			l		0	-								
- 1	- 1					,00	7-3	0 90	.,	2 . 2 9	2-14	6-61	4-37	2:21	l
•		MUDSTONE	Black Findy Hickorom Descripted Pyrids Heldes	1							1	1			
- 1	- [ĺ			7.4	0 60		2 . 7 3	2.55	7.16	4.03	3-13	
- 1	- 1			20 20			7		•••	, ,,				• ••	
\neg								0 52		2 0 2	2-65	4.27	3-13	3-14	1
,,	1 25	MUDSTONE	Black From Silly				_		-	2:6.2	2.43	7:53	5:0'5	2.54	
"	'''	MODSTONE	wan Burn				6 2	0 36	7.1	2.63	7.41	7.33	3.0.	****	
- 1				21 25 22 01		100		ı	ĺ	1	1		l	1	l
-	0.06	IRONSTONE		-		100	• 3	1.00	6.7	2 36	2:19	6.84	4.79	2.05	1
. 1	0 94	MUDSTONE	Diack Form Bratten Small Haddeles of Honstone					L-	_	—			 	├	-
1			Duran Hageser & mourtees	22 95			0.4	0 - 37		1-3 6	1-3-1	4.09	4 - 3 2		0 . 2 3
				1								}	}		
١	1						9-1	0.95	6.9	0.66	0.63	1-97	4.50		2 - 5 3
•	1.50	MUDSTONE	Dark Grey / Black Safty and British	1											
- 1	i			1			.,	0.53	7.0	0.70	0.65	2.03	4-10	l	2 . 0 7
_				24:45	==	①			-	+	-			-	_
**	0 49	MUDSTONE	Silly or Paris	34 23		100	,,	0 - 64	7 - 1	0-91	0.86	2.68	4.44		1.94
		L. IRVITATIONE	-					-	+	_					
,,	1.01	MUDSTONE	Steen Sifty one firm	l			١,,	0		0.96	0.07	2.72	4.79	i	2.07
ì				23.25			1			1			1	1	İ
				10.00											
											l		l	1	l
34	1.66	MUDSTONE	Colourses Since of Sp	١			10-1	1-20	7.0	0.00	0.03	2-59	5 - 20	1	2 - 6 1
-			Derner Crey at Base Silty Shell Programms	1							<u> </u>	L		L	
						0	10 2	0.40	7.1	0.90	0.04	2 62	11 -2 2	1	8-60
100	0 25	LIMESTONE	yery Impure Miderately land	27 61	四四	,,,,	10.3	0 - 27	7.1	1-14	1.0 6	3.37	10.00	+	6.71
	-										1	2:42			6.76
13	0 74	MUDSTONE	Calcarant Dark Cryy Similar in Above	l			10-4	0.73	7.0	1-14	1-10	3.43	10-19		
_				28 - 60			lu-i	0 51	7.0	0.03		0:23	50:36	 	60 11
	1			1		_		10.51	7.0	0.01		1	10000	↓	
100	1 35	LIMESTONE	Hard Gray Shall Fragments Coloids 19848			0,	l	l	1	0.05	0.05	0-16	41-62		41.46
	l			1		"	11 - 3	0 43	7.1	0.03	0.03		****		1
	0 27	LIMESTONE	No store	22 22		-	+	 	+	+	+	+	+	1	1
•	0.13	MUDSTONE	Calculation David Comp.	188 J			12 -1	0.00	7.0	0.17	0-15	0.46	60-63	1	60 17
"	0 36	LIMESTONE		30 7		ì	\vdash	↓_			1	<u> </u>	-	ـ	ļ
0	0 17	MUDSTONE	Colegrapos	20 0		_	12 - 2	0 30	6.5	1.34	1.24	3.87	45-51	+	41:64
100	0 47	MUDSTONE	Been Silly From	21.25		09	12 - 1		6.4	2.70	2 - 5 1	7-84	12 - 0 8		4 - 24
100	0 28	MUDSTONE	Broken Bright Claren	21 -62		l "		0 26		3-44	3-13	9.17	5-03	2 65	1
100	6 19	COAL		1]		0 20	1	_	_	1	-		+
100	0 - 63	SANDSTON	Sitty Pearly Seried Dark Registers	22 4		1	12 -6	0.58	6.7	1-31	1 - 2 6	3-93	1.41	2.04	L
41	0 42	SAMOSTON	E Sifty Grey Pearly Served	1		1	1	1	1.	T	T	1		1	T
	1			22.9	4	1	13.1	0 66	• ,	0.70	0.41	2-15	1.30	0.85	I
	1	1		1		1		1		1	_	1		T	
			Hodoum Crawed or Top Corner	1		1	112.2	0	7.2	0.03	0.00	0.25	4.05	1	3 . 0 0
54	' '	SAMUSTONE	Hodium Cranted of Top Corner to Base Crassbellfed	1		0	<u></u>	1	+-	+	+	+	\leftarrow	 	-
	1			I		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13 -3	0 - 56	4.4	0.06	0.05	0 -1 6	2.00		1 9 2
	+-			34 -45			-	+-	+	+		+	+	+	+-
•	0 51	MUDSTONE	Con San Fra	35 8		1	13.4		1	0-03	0.03	0.03	0.02		0.73
Q	0 03		Cools Bugs Firm	25.7				0 10		0.17	0.18	0.47	0.95	-	0 4 0
	0 25	SANDSTONE		23 6		1-	13.6	0 22	16.0	0.03	0.03	0.09	2 53	+	2.44
100						1	١	1	1	1	1	1	1		1
100	1	ł		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	
100															
100															

Figure 2 Geological section and analysis results for horehole No. 3139 from 17.70m-34.45m depth

E .		CORE DIA	BOREHOLE No SHEET	Т		2.7	T	1				ANALY	SIS PE	SULTS		
BESIGNATION R 0 0	(m)	0 921	3139 3	1 = -	9	CORE BOX N.	ANALYSIS SAMPLE No	15	THICKNESS (m)		SULPHUR	POTENTIAL	(a)	(6)	AC10 PRODUCING	EICESS MEUTRALISING
830	₹ €			# £	EGEND	¥ 5	133	1	ŧε	ρН	TOTAL	PRODUCING	THEFORETICA (AC10	a - p	D-Q
50	1	1	E DESCRIPTION	-	-	¥ .	4 4	"	Ξ.		7. S		% CoCo3	"ACaCo	[% (a(e)	
70	0 33 8 73 8 72	SANDSTONE	Coarse Grained Gray Firm Cogsibedded Hedgim Fon Grain Fights	35 76	2.00	-	1	+-			t	7. 5	1			
100		SAN BETONE	Hallym Fore Grain Flasie	13 15			14 1		••	6 4	0 07	0 0 6	0 19	240		2 2 1
64	0 20	SANOSTONE	Medium Pat Crev Dark Grey Sendy Plants	38 38			Ĺ								L	
3.8	0 14	SANDSTONE	Cray Silly Laurence France Madeum Crames	36 72			14 2]		0.04	0 0 4	0 12	2 2 0		200
. 0	0 18	MUDSTONE	Sells Dark Crey from	26 90		(<u>(</u>	Ľ.	ľ	``_	••	L.,		0 , 2	7 7 0	<u> </u>	70.
				1		100										
64	1 35	SANDSTONE	Pole Grey Coarse Grawned Histocoars Site Portings	1			14 3	l°.	"	70	<0 0 1	<001	<0 03	3 # 1		3 7 9
1 1				1			14 4			7 3	<001	<0.01	<0 03	4 7 5		473
				28 25		<u> </u>	١	1	"		1001	20.91	70 03	- ' '		. / .
i l							15 1		54	4 2	1 5 3	1-50	4-64	0 6 0	400	
82	1 32	SANDSTONE	Derk Gray Medium Coarse Grained Yery Carbonacemia Hard Shaly at Top	!		ı	15 2		-,+	6.1	053	0 5 1	1-59	2 8 3		1 2 4
			as tap	l				+	-					-		
:00	0 35	SILTSTONE	Crey white Sandy Laminus	39 57		_	15 3	┺.		6.0	0.89	0.8.0	2 7 5	2 4 3	0 3 2	
:00	0 00		Stack	22 88		0	15 4		² '	4-1	206	1-95	6 0 9	0 00	5 2 5	
-	8 65 -	- SILTSTONE -		128 13 -	ш		i									
100	0 69	SANDS'ONE	Hedrom Coarse Frank Remains				15 5	1 '	°°		0 2 0	010	0 5 6	4 . 5		4 2 9
⊨ +	0 13	MUDSTONE		48 96				+-	4							
0	0 28	MUDSTONE	Mach Lakinc Surfaces	41 33			15 6	0 :	"	4 5	016	014	0 4 4	2 4 8		2 0 4
81	0 17	SANDSTONE	hilly Course Grained Nicecourt				16 1	0	50		0 9 6	0 8 9	2:78	1 5 2	1 2 6	
-	0 03	SILTSTONE	tum Begel	21 22			16 2		,,	6 1	0.55	015	0 47	1 1 2		0 6 5
	0 16	MUDSTONE	Braten Briges Classin Sitty Brace Data Stey Sandy Micacobia	42 17			16.3	١.,		5.6	2.51	2 3 8	7.43	1 2 2	6 14	
	0 16	SILTSTONE	Dark Stry Sondy Michelbus	42 49	Τ	@	Ľ.,	١,		••	.,,	4.4.		1 7 2	• '	
10	. 10	SANDSTONE	Part trey (marse Grained			(§	İ									
"		34112313110	Pare crey (searce Grained Stilly common conglose Hodyles				16 4	1'	••	6 5	0.18	0-16	0 50	1 5 2		1 0 2
<u> </u>				43 59			L	╄	4							
0	0 46	MUDSTONS	Sires Little Form	44 05			16 5	0 4	.,	6.6	0.00	0 0 7	0 2 2	2 0 3		1 4 1
7.6	0 54	MUDSTONE	Silv Sank Grey Iran Rich Bands	1					T							
L. 1				44 59				İ	- 1							
100	0 56	MUDSTONE	terriginous word site.	44 84			17 1	P 3	۱ '	7.0	0 0 3	0 0 3	0 0 9	5 0 C		1 9 1
76	6.7"	M -D STONE	hits Hand or Basis Mile Sands Basis						- [i
	.			45 55		0	ŀ	ļ	+							
55	0 52	SILTSTONE	Free Cary Mayor Comb. Turne - Auberts	46 07	拼	97		1					İ		1	
1							17 2	١.,		5 8	0 05	0 05	016	2 04	1	
0.4	0 90	MUDSTONE	See. Site from					i	i							
L. I				47 05			l	L				1		i		
F 1	0:0	RONSTONE		37 15				Γ	П				- 1		1	- 1
	İ						18.1	100	۱,	6 2	013	012	3 37	2 , ,	- 1	1 74
24	1 35	MUDSTONE	Sing Dark Stee con Nich Bands									ı	- 1		i	- 1
1	1					_	10.2	! ;	Ŧ		0.15	0 01	0 20	1 93		1.5.5
├- İ	1		-	48 50		0	ŀ",	١, ,	~ {	• '	.,,	007	0 2 2	- ''		-՝ ՝ ՝ ։
100	0 90	COAL	Stranger Bright and Core n	j		••	18 4	١,,	, [5-8	2 21	1 81	5 6 5	1 2 9	4 3 6	
				49 40					-]			1	1	1		
100	0 55	SILTSTONE	Prove Crey rook, at No. Prove Crey rook, at No.				10.5	١.,	,†	6 2	0 2 3	0 7 1	0 6 6	0 8 6		0 2 0
F 1	0 97	SANDSTONE -	-	\$8 82			•	1	+			+	†			
	0 29	SILISTONE	Crey Brown	50 31						- 1	1		- 1	i		l
	0 15	MUDSTON€	See Brown	50 66			19 1	١, ,	,			0 0 2				
۰	0 43	MUDSTONE	Note Cody of Sale	51 09				1			- [- 1	
i	1		1	1			L	l		[1		1	ł	- 1	- 1
							19 2	6 4	,7	٠,	0 0 3	0 0 2	0 06	151		1-47
	1		ļ		į		-	1	+	\dashv		+				
ļ			l			•	19 3	0 7	١.	7,1	004	0 0 4	0 . 3	170		
	1 11	OLD WORKIN	G CAVITY		-	50			+							
- 1					- 1				-	- 1	l					- 1
- 1					1			١.	- [l	1			l	
1	-			.			•	ľ°	- [1		ļ	- 1	- 1	- 1	- 1
					-			l			- 1		i	- 1		
	8 18	ลียีสร้ายคย	branen. Gig. Same Song, Minaranisa	" "				Į į	4	11	271	925	192	130		0.51
	W 10 1	MANAZIONE		40.03.5		_						* #/	W 11			لنفت

Figure 3 Geological section and analysis results for borehole No. 3139 from 35.4m to 54.45m depth

E-		CORE DIA T	BOREHOLE No SHEET	1		1.,			г -	-	ANALY	SIS PE	SILITE		
1500	g	CORE DIA	3139 4	Ξ.	9	1	F #	=\$			POTENTIAL	<u> (a) (c</u>	(6)	ACIO	41085
3 8 0	# C			# (E	LEGENO	2 8	152	186	ρН	SULPHUR TOTAL	POTENTIAL ACID PRODUCING	THEODERCAL DEWINALISMS	ACIO MENTALISIMO	PROPULING	CAMENT
POCK GUALITY DESIGNATION R. Q. D.	THICKNESS (m)	CORI	E DESCRIPTION	" "	3	CORE BOX H.	AMALYSIS SAMPLE NA	SAMPLE THICKNESS (m)		TOTAL 7.5	SULPHUR		CAPACITY 16 Co Co 3	a - b	b-a (%CaCa)
1	\vdash			 	1000	· -	 		-	 	7.5			1	
100	0-84	SANDSTONE	Billy Bark Gray Pine Crained Courser Bands Occasional Iranstone Medules	1			20 -1	1.05	٠.,	0.03	0.03	0.09		1	0.74
							1	"		0.03	0.03	0.05		1	" '
180	0 15	SILTSTONE	Dark Grey Self there Grey as The		-	1	20 - 2	0 10	6.7	0.04	0.04	0 - 1 2	0.9 2	1	0.80
1 30	0 28	MOUSTONE	buff park tray or Top 17th, To blace	25:72	97848	19	20.2	0.30	• 7	0.02		0.72	0.7.2	 	0.00
100	0-84	SANDSTONE	Pole Crey Medium Coarse Grained Silly Missessons Laminus	1		,,	20 - 3	0.76	7.0	0.01	0-01	0.03	1-10		1-07
				56 -63		1		<u> </u>	├		.			-	
100	0 50	SANDSTONE	Pole Crey Coarse Grained	Ī		1	20 -4	0 72		0.03		0.09	1-63		1-54
62	0 06-		CAT CORES COSINGS	37 13					* *	1					
<u> </u>				-											
1 1				ļ			21 -1	0.80	7.0	0.04	0.03	0.09	1.75		1.66
1 1				1					_			_			
1 1				1			51 - 5	0 - 52	7.5	<0.001	<0.001	<0 003	4.54		4.54
%	2 60	SANDSTONE	Coerce Crained Pale Crey Current Bedded Carbona crows and Misecous Lamines			Ð					——				
1				i	1	**									l I
1 1				ĺ			21 - 3	1:48	7-1	0.04	0 0 7	0-22	1:53		1:31
				1		1				ŀ			l	1	
Ш				60 15			<u> </u>								\vdash
						1				1					
				i			22 - 1	1 - 37	6.6	0-2-0	0-18	0.56	2.05		1-49
															l i
100	2 - 42	SANDSTONE	Course Crained and Impostered			1									
1 1						1				l					
1 1							22-2	1-06	6:5	0.05	0.05	0-16	1:4.8		1:32
1				62 57		0							1		
						"									
l .										İ					
	1 - 44	CAVITY		1						Ì.					
1		CATTIT		1											
				l	1										
	0 05	COAL		64 56.		l	22.1	0 05	7-1	0.06	0.05	0 16	بيبا		ايبيا
12	0 25	SILTSTONE		66 75		-	22 4	0 27	6-5	0 0 6	0.05	0.14	067		0-51
100	0 28	SANDSTONE	Sity of the Pearly Series	65 03			23 1	0 80	6.6	0.04	0.04	0-12	1.06		.,.
				1			"			'					
67	1 24	SANDSTONE	Male Grey Course Grained	1		Ø									
				4 ,-		76	23 2	0 75	5 9	0.05	0.05	0-16	1 - 1 5		1-35
—	0 50	CAVITY		66 27		Ì	\vdash	\dashv		-				-	
L	L° ".	CATITY		66 75	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	\Box	L						
														[[
						1									
					i										
					ļ					ŀ					1
					1										
				Ι.	l										
				l '	1										
				1	'										
				l											
				1 .	1										
															I
								1				- 1		1	
								1							I
												.			
لــــا				لـــــا	L	L	ldot	\Box							

Figure 4 Geological section and analysis results for borehole No. 3139 from 54.45m to 66.75m depth

		CORE DIA BOREHOLE No SHEET								ANIALV	SIS RE	TC		-
ROCH QUALITY DESIGNATION R. Q. D	THICKNESS (m)	CORE DIA BOREHOLE No. SHEET		9	CORE BOX N.	ANALYSIS SAMPLE No.	SAMPLE THICKNESS (m)	⊢		BOTE MTIA	(a)	(P)	4010	ercess.
810	1		¥ î	Скер	2 5	22	1	рн	SULPHUR	ACID	MEGRETICAL	4010	PRODUCING POTENTIAL	CAPACITE
250 4	¥	CORE DESCRIPTION		3	¥ £	2 4	NE ~	ļ	TOTAL	SULPHUR	Mountainer "/. CaCo 3	CAPACITY	a-b	b-a
š °	- -		├		8.	-	<u> </u>		 	7.5	*/. Ca Ca 3	% CeCe 3	(% Ca Ca 3	K 4. CaCe y
			1		1	ı	1			!		1		
-	1-30	BOULDER CLAY	i	0.0.0	1		i i			İ	ļ	1		
	1		l				l l		1			l		1
_	_		1:30			-	-	├		ļ			<u> </u>	
		ļ								i			l	
1 - 1	1 00	SANDY BOULDER CLAY			ł		ļ			1			ŀ	
	<u> </u>		2:30						1					
-	0 55	SANDSTONE	l		1	1	ĺ		1					İ
\vdash			2 85		₩	┼		-	-					
١,,	1 00	SANDSTONE Recover impostored need west-junted			1	ŀ								
, °	1 00	SANDSTONE Hord WEN-Junted			1	1						ŀ		}
			3-65	-			2 20	7.0		0:18	0:56	l		
1 1		Hedrum Coarse Crawned	1		0	1''	2.50	/ °	0.50	8-14	0 56	2 77		2 2 1
40	1 - 20	Medium Coorse Cromed McCicceus pressured in Firth Hard One Mussiane Band a 47 from Top	1		1		1		1	l				
		0 67 Hom 30p								i			1	
\vdash			5.05		-	 			Н—				├	
43	0 82	SANDSTONE INcocous Some Inscitating Coel Troops to Bods	l			2.1	0.72	6-9	0.06	0.05	0-16	0.85	1	0-73
			5 47		1	<u> </u>	\perp			L				
$\vdash \vdash$	8 6	MUDSTONE Dark State Library	6 05		ľ				ĺ	[
	0-64	COAL Rootly but Successfuled	l		۔ ا	2 2	0.93	5-9	0.43	0.39	1 - 2 2	0.81	0.41	i l
$\vdash \vdash$			6-74		Q				ļ	<u> </u>	L			L
\vdash	0 32	MUDSTONE Crey Fire madeing	7-06	-		2 7	0 35	4-6	0.06	0.05	0-16	0 65		0-49
\vdash	0 22 0 06 0 23	COAL Bright Bright COAL Bright	7 28		l	2 - 4	0 52	5-8	0.92	0 56	1-75	0-94	0-81	
\vdash	0 25	MUDSTONE SIR, MERCHAN DAIR COLV	7 92					_	 					_
100	200	MUDSTONE LIN, Metering Dark Gray SANDSTONE SILTSTONE Dark Gray		2-3		2 · 5	0 - 51	4 - 5	0.01	0.01	0.03	0-42		0.39
		SANDSTONE Medium Gramed Light Gray				1								
	0-72	SANDSTONE MARRY LAMAGE	١		1				ļ.	l				
	0 15	SANDSTONE Mudatoric Bonds Clay Traces	8-77		1	١			1					
ı						3-1	1-62	4.4	0-13	0-1 2	0-37	1-02		0-65
•"	0 - 87	SANDSTONE Medium Copined OS white			3	i				1				
H			9-79		100	-	\vdash							
25	0 40	MUDSTONE SIR, Plant Races	10 19			3 - 2	0-38	3-8	0.37	0-33	1-03	0 8 2	0.21	
	9 27	COAL Bright Claran transhined MUDSTONE SHY SANDSTONE Hedge Ground	10-48		1	3.3	0 - 29	3.6	5-32	4-37	13-64	0 -5 3	13-11	
50	· 8 66-	SANDSTONE Hadam Granud MINDSTONE Spin Gray Papers SILISTONE GPY Branch	10 77		L	3.4	0 - 40	4-0	0-19	0.17	0.53	1-47		0.94
		SILL SIME VIN PER	10.55											
			İ											
			ŀ											
	3-17	OLD WORKINGS CAVITY												
					20									
			l		©						į,			
						1 .								
1						4.,	0 37	4.0	1.07	0.74	2.31	0.62	1:49	
Ħ	V 17	COAL H	16 22			H	 					3.6.2	1.65	
,,	0-64	MUDSTONE Sity at Bess Coally at Tee	1			ا ا	i I		ا ا	ا ا				
\vdash			14-00			4 2	1-02	4-4	0.08	0.07	0.22	0 7 2		0.50
•	0 27	SILTSTONE GREY Sandy	15-15			—								
	į	Dark Cray , Polar Gray , Course SILTSTONE Grande Hard Some wandland												
**	0 99	SILTSTONE Grands land Same vensions	l											
L			16:14	36		, ,	2 - 28	5-6			0.25	1 - 2 6		
100	8 71	MUDSTONE Sity Dark Cray Fine Limestone			6	'	· ' '	•	""	3.0.	V-23			1.01
'**			16-85		9									
	8 17	MUDSTONE Dark Grey Breek	17 10											
100	0 57			100			\vdash	\neg	-	-			 	
100	V-37	SAMDSTONE Pale Gray Medium Hand	17 75			5 2	0 57	6-3	0.06	0.05	0-16	1-72		1-54
							-						\vdash	
											- 1			- 1
											ł			- [
	- 1									1				ŀ
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			_								

Figure 5 Geological section and analysis results for borehole No. 3141 from 0.0m to 17.75m depth

- z 1	ا _د	CORE DIA	BOREHOLE No SHEET	i		2 5	v. •	s			ANALY				
20	ES.	CORE DIA	3141 2	Ε.,	2	GRY P	1 S 2	AMPLE CRNESS (m)		SULPHUR	POTENTIAL ACID	(a)	(b)	AC10 PRODUCING POTENTIAL	EACESS HEUTPAL IS
DESIGNATION R. O. D.	THICKNESS (m)	CORE	DESCRIPTION	9 6	LEGEND	CORE BOX N.	ANALYSIS SAMPLE No	SAN CAN	рΗ	TOTAL	DECOLICING	THEORETICAL MEURIAL ISING MEDUNASHENS	WELL STREET	a-b	b-Q
2 8	-			↓	ļ.,	8.	1,3	-		7, 5	% S	Mountaines % CaCo 3	". CoCo 3	ru caco	(", Ca (
				}			6.1	0 42	69	<0.01	<0.01	<0.03	4.34		4-32
i	1												5.77		5 75
72	2 23	SANDSTONE	Medium Grained Pale Grey More Silty Bands to Bose				6 2	1 -05	7 2	<0 01	<0.01	<0.03	3 //		, ,,
			Conque joiner with transfering			0		-					-		
						ĭ,	6.3	0 85	6.7	<0 001	<0.001	<0 003	2 - 8 5		2 . 8 5
3.5	0 34	SILT STONE	Dork Grey intrestained in Sedding Firm Sondy at Top	19 98	-			L		ļ					L_
	0 43	MUDSTONE	Firm Sondy at Top	20 32			6.4	0 65	6.6	0 34	0.31	0-97	2 - 4 1		1 44
				20 75			├	-		-	<u> </u>				-
							2-1	0-79	6-5	0 07	0.06	0 -1 9	2 - 4 0		2 - 2 1
0) 41	MUDSTONE	Dern Crey Broken at Top				-		_					l	_
		-IRONSTONE -		22 16		60	7-2	0.78	6-7	0.06	0.05	0-16	2-1-6		5.00
	0.05			7 ==			-			 				-	<u> </u>
50	0 74	MUDSTONE SILIY MUDST	Firm Black Srily to base with Sandy Lamnae	22 95			7)	0 73	6 - 2	0 - 2 4	0.2.2	0-69	1-31		0 6.2
100	0 19	SANDSTONE	Micacious Carbonacecus	23 22			• 1	0-55	5 6	0 2 5	0.23	0-72	1-70		0.98
•	0 30	MUDSTONE	Black Silty Thin Coal Leminos	23 52			<u> </u>	-		 				-	
10	1 12	MUDSTONE	Crev Silly Bandy to Base Firm yellow transpersing			0.0	0.2	0 83	6-1	0-65	0.60	1-67	1:33	0.54	
			firm Yellow Ironsteining	1			.,	0 24	6-1	0-19	0-17	0.53	1 4 6		0.93
. 0	<u> 11 - 1</u>	SANDSTONE	Pair Cres Coarse Crained transteined	26 75			8 4	0 10	6.2	0.04	0.04	0-12	1.88		1-7 (
85	2 12	SANDSTONE	Medium Crained Paarly Sarted Sub-vertised Joints	26 87		300	,,	2-12	6-3	0-16	0.14	0 44	0 75		0.3
0	0 53	MUDSTONE	Silty Dark Grey Sue Figuile	27 40			9 2	0-53	6-3	0-16	0-14	0-44	1-12		0-6
	0 74	MUDSTONE	Dark Crey Silty at Top	28:14			10)	0.74	6.6	0 03	0 0 3	0.09	1-91		1-8
0	å 33	MERETRUE -	Firm Black Lifty	28 36	1111		183	8 49	11	9.32	912	8.35	3.52	1.71	1.90
0	0 30	MUDSTONE	Firm Black and Sity Linguis Fragments	28 73		@	10:4	0 40	6-5	0 7 6	0.73	2 2 8	2 0 2	0 2 6	
0	0 36	MUDSTONE	Firm Black a Silty	20 21			10 5	0.14	6-3	0.26	0.23	972	1-67	-	0 9 5
	0 15	IRONSTONE	Crey	29 36			10 6	1:05	4.2	2 73	2.56	7.99	2.09	5 90	
	0 64	MUDSTONE	Dark Grey to Black from Ribs in Ports Perite Pyrite Hodults Firm Black	30 00								1.77			
100	0 06 -	SANDSTONE -	Firm Block moral Fine Medium Grained Criv I Block Laminae Firm Block E Brig Crey Bull Block of Base, July Company Laminae	30 40			11:1	0.46	4-8	3 34	3 1 0	7-66	0.68	9.00	_
	8 42=	MUDSTONE	Firm Back & Erty Crey Buff, Brack at Base	30 76			11 - 2	0 30	6 4	0 45	0.41	1 - 2 6	0.00	0.44	
	0 47	COAL	Broken Siret Dutter to Base	+		000	11 3	0 58	6-5	0.62	0.38	1 19	1-29		0-1
100	0 29	MUDSTONE	Site Block Cool, Dark Crey	3) 32			11.6	0 65	6.3	0.13	0.12	0.37	0.84		0.4
100	0 04	- SANDSTONE -		31 71			11 2	0 45	• •	0 13		0 37	0 84		0.4
100	0 66	SANDSTONE	Remains & Coal Traces	32 41			12 1	0 66	4 6	0 1 6	0-16	0 5 0	0 - 2 7	0 2 3	
0	0 22	MUDSTONE .	Sema Cest Lemines	32 62			12 2	0-41	4.4	1 00	0.66	2 0 6	0 6 8	1 3 8	
100	0 44		Dark Grey Sits, Frank Remains	22 25		(P)	12 3	0 49	6-0	0-15	014	0 44	040	0 0 4	
0	0 20	SANDSTONE		33 45			12 4	0 49	6.6	0.05	0.05	0-16	0.35		0 19
100	0 30	SANDSTONE	Seft	32 75			+							-	
	0 72	SANDSTONE	Pare Crey Mand Carbonaceous Partings	34 47			13.1	0 73	6.7	0 0 2	0 0 2	0 06	2 21		2 11
100	0 66	MUDSTONE	Black firm and Silly	35 13		0	13 2	0 43	6.9	0-16	014	0 4 4	2-07		1 6
0	0 25	COAL	Broken Duki	35 38		100	71.1	a 13	11	0 75	014	0 24	174		11
==:	§ 19	NUDSTONE	Posts Bioch Prosen Cools	15 61 35 25 35 70			13 4	0 77	6 3	0 87	0 4 8	1.50	121	0 2 5	
_	A 89	MAL TONE	Aufrin 1860: Reis.	12 40			+	_		 					
							1	1 .	1	1		i	1	1	

Figure 6 Geological section and analysis results for borehole No. 3141 from 17.75m to 35.98m depth

E -		CORE DIA	BOREHOLE No	SHEET					_			ANAIV	SIS RE	SULTS		
100	8	m m 0 921	3141	3	Ξ.	ş	* *	S z	2 Kg		I	BOT ENTIAL	(a)	(ъ)	AC10	616620
BOCK QUALITY DESIGNATION R D D	THICKNESS (m)				(m)	LEGEND	CORE BOX N.	ANALYSIS SAMPLE No.	SAMPLE THICKNESS (m)	pН	SULPHUR	PRODUCING	HEURETICAL	MEURALISHE	POTENTIAL CI - D (% CaCo y	CAPACITY
500	1 2	1	E DESCRIPTION		-	-	8 2	4 4	7 =		7.5	SULPHUR	1. CaCo 3	7. CoCo	(% CaCo s	(% CaCos
100			Eum Nard Black C		26 08											
**	2 62	SANDSTONE	Medium to Coarse SrRy Micoceaus 4	Grained Empirics	38 70		⊕ <u>8</u>	14.1	2 80	• •	0 -3 2	0:29	0.91	1-86		0 9 5
92	1 32	SANDSTONE	Pale Grey Silly M Snaty & Casty	-000000	40 02			15 1	1 32	5 0	<0.01	<001	<0 03	2 71		2-69
<u> </u>	0 41	COAL MUDSTONE	Broken Stick Cooly Black Pale		40 43		139									
_	0 02	COAL	Clarein Codly		41 10			15 2	1 58	5-6	1:02	0-63	1:97	0.88	1-09	
1	0 50	COAL			ا ا											
E	0 08	MUDSTONE -	Dark Grey Firm		41 70			16 1	0 24	6 8	0.08	0.07	0 2 2	1.06		0-8-4
67	1 44		Pale Crey Coorse : Sifty g Micaceous	Crawed Lamnee	43 38		(i)	16 2	1 44	7-4	<0 0 1	<0.01	€0 0 3	472		4-70
	1 12	SANDSTONE	Silly Dark Grey A Plant Remains Sil Laminae Sub Yer	bundani Ity McGcadus	., ,,			16.3	1 12	7 0	0.06	0.05	0-1-6	2-73		2 5 7
					44 50											

Figure 7 Geological section and analysis results for borehole No. 3141 from 35.09m to 44.5m depth

Analysis

- In order to measure pH 8g of the crushed sample were shaken with 20ml 0.01M CaCl, for 45 minutes and the pH determined using a glass
- The moisture content of each sample was determined by drying to constant weight at 105°C under oxygen free nitrogen in a minimum free space oven. All sulphur and A.N.C. results were expressed on a dry basis.
- Acid Neutralising Capacity (A.N.C.) was determined by taking a known weight of the material which was refluxed with 0.25M - HC1 and the resultant suspension titrated to pH 8.3 with 0.1M Na OH. The A.N.C. was calculated as the amount of acid, expressed as % CaCO2, neutralised by the sample.

The blank tests (sample plus water without acid, and acid without sample) described by Costigan, Bradshaw and Gemmell were carried out on a cross section of the samples which had either a higher sulphur content or which gave a lower pH. However the blank values obtained made negligible difference to the final results, possibly due in part to lack of oxidation of any sulphur present. These blank tests were accordingly omitted for the rest of the samples in order to minimise the laboratory work-load. [4]

- The total sulphur content of each of the samples was determined using a Leco SC32 Sulphur Analyser (See Note below). "Forms of Sulphur" were then determined on a cross section of the samples as follows:
- In order to assess Organic Sulphur about 2g of sample, weighed accurately was refluxed with $100\mathrm{ml}$ boiling $2\mathrm{M}$ - HNO_3 for 30 minutes to extract both sulphate and pyritic sulphur. After extraction the suspension was cooled, filtered, and washed through a weighed glass fibre filter under vacuum, the remaining solids then being dried and weighed. The sulphur content of the dried solids was then determined on the Leco SC32 and the result calculated to the original basis, allowing for loss in weight during the acid extraction.
- Organic plus pyritic sulphur the above procedure was repeated, this time 5g of sample being refluxed with 50ml 5M - HCl to extract the sulphate sulphur. The remaining pyritic plus organic sulphur was determined on the Leco SC32, corrections again being made for loss in weight during the extraction.

For samples containing no or low amounts of carbonaceous matter it is essential to add about 1 - 2g $\rm V_2O_5$ powder (see Leco SC32 instruction manual) to ensure full release of the Sulphur.

The most effective procedure was found to be:-

- 1. Spread on thin layer of V_2O_5 over the bottom of the combustion boat.
- 2. Weigh sample into boat and thoroughly mix with about $\log V_2 0^5$
- 3. Spread a thin layer of V_2O_5 over the sample mixture 4. Note total weight of V_2O_5 used in order to apply correction for its inherent sulphur content.

These were indications in tests carried out and two of the samplers that the use of V_2O_5 was not essential on the acid extracated residues in the "forms of Sulphur" analyses, but this has yet to be confirmed.

The individual "Forms of Sulphur" were then obtained as follows :-

determined directly.Total Sulphur - (Organic and (i) Total Sulphur Sulphate Sulphur (11) Pyritic Sulphur). (Organic and Pyritic Sulphur) -

Pyritic Sulphur (111) Organic Sulphur.

(iv) Organic Sulphur determined directly after extraction.

As stated above "Forms of Sulphur" were estimated on a cross-section of the samples[6]. From these samples it was seen that the sulphate content was consistently low, even where some oxidation might have been expected.

Number of Sulphate determination - 20. Range of Sulphate content - Ni1 - 0.06.

78	No.
Sulphate Sulphur	Samples
NIL	5
0.01	5
0.02	5
0.03	1
0.04	2
0.05	1
0.06	1

Table 1 Distribution of Sulphate Sulphur Sample Analysis

Because of the large number of samples involved it was accordingly decided that no further sulphate estimations be carried out. Also, because of the workload involved it was decided that, in addition to the samples already analysed, organic sulphur would only be determined where the total sulphur was 0.5%, and that the ratio of organic to total sulphur be calculated. This ratio was found to be 0.1 and it was then assumed that the pyritic sulphur was (0.9 x Total Sulphur) for all samples with total sulphur contents of less then 0.5%. It was also assumed that all the pyritic sulphur would be oxidised to sulphuric acid and the % CaCO3 required to neutralise this acid was calculated.

4 FeS₂ + 4 H₂O + 14 O₂
$$\rightarrow$$
 4 FeSO₄ + 4 H₂SO₄
4 FeSO₄ + 2 H₂SO₄ + O₂ \rightarrow 2 Fe₂(SO₄)₃ + 2 H₂
2 Fe₂(SO₄)₃ + 12 H₂O \rightarrow 4 Fe (OH)₃ + 6 H₂SO₄

4 FeS₂ + 14 H₂0 + 150₂
$$\rightarrow$$
 4 Fe (OH)₃ + 8 H₂SO₄

i.e. 1g sulphur would produce 3.059g $\rm H_2SO_4$ which would then require 3.121g $\rm CaCO_3$ for neutralisations (i.e. 1% Sulphur = 3.121 A.N.C.). The following table shows the values obtained for pH, sulphur and A.N.C. values, together with the figure taken for Potential Acid Producing Sulphur. This latter figure is also shown as the theoretical % ${\rm CaCO}_3$ required for neutralisation.

Where this figure is greater than the A.N.C., the difference between them is taken as the "Acid Producing Potential". Where the A.N.C. is greater than the % CaCO₃ required for neutralisation of the acid products the difference is taken as the "Excess Neutralising Capacity".

Although these average figures show that there is a theoretical overall excess of Neutralising Capacity, examination of the individual results shows that for BH.3139 there are 12.41m and for BH.3141, 5.22m of potentially acid producing strata. Coal subsections or part sections were excluded from the calcualtions as it was assumed all coal would be excavated and not used for backfilling.

B.H. No.	Average Potential Acid Producing Sulphur %S	(a) Average Theoretical Neutralisation Requirement %CaCO3	(b) Average Acid Neutralising Capacity - A.N.C. %CaCO3	Average Excess Neutralising Capacity (b - a) %CaCO3
3139	0.52	1.63	6.12	4.49
3141	0.26	0.82	1.90	1.08

Table 2 - overall average values, in proportion to sample thickness, for the potential acid producing sulphur, theoretical neutralisation requirement, acid neutralising capacity and excess neatralising capacity.

	Total	Average	(a)	(b)	
	Thickness	Potential	Average	Average Acid	Average Acid
1	(m)	Acid	Theoretical	Neutralising	Producing
в.н.	(excluding	Producing	Neutralisation	Capacity	Potential
No.	coals)	Sulphur	Requirement	- A.N.C.	(a - b)
		%S	%CaCO3	%CaC03	%CaCO3
3139	12.41	1.43	4.46	2.26	2.20
3141	5.22	1.01	3.42	1.16	2.26

Table 3 - potential acid producing strata - average values in propertion to sample thickness.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The average theoretical Acid Producing Potentials of these particular strata on their own are greater than those which are known to give severe acid ferruginous pollution.

Assuming that the thickness found in the borehole core samples are representative of the site as a whole then this represents $124,100\text{m}^3$ of potentially acid producing material per hectare of site surface area identified in BH.3139 and $52,200\text{m}^3$ potentially acid producing material per hectare identified in BH.3341. Assuming an in situ bulk density of between 1.8 and 2.0 tonnes/m 3 for the strata then there could be between 223,400 and 248,000 tonnes of material with an average Acid Producing Potential of 2.20% as CaCO $_3$ and between 93,960 and 104,440 tonnes with an average Acid Producing Potential of 2.26% as CaCO $_3$, per hectare of site area.

The total $CaCo_3$ equivalent required to neutralise these tonnages could therefore be between about 7,000 and 7,800 tonnes per hectare of site surface area (this is equivalent to the production of between about 6,800 and 7,600 tonnes sulphuric acid per hectare of site surface area).

However, strongly neutralising strata were also identified, particularly in BH.3139, where there were 5.96m with individual Excess Neutralising Capacities greater than a pH of 6.0. The average of these, in proportion to sample thickness was 35.66% as CaCO $_3$. Of this 5.96m, 3.35m had an average Excess Neutralising Capacity of 56.89% as CaCO $_3$. Assuming an in situ bulk density of 2.0 tonnes/m for these strata this gives a total CaCO $_3$ equivalent of 42,500 tonnes/hectare for the 5.96m strata and 38,120 tonnes/hectare for the 3.35m strata on its own.

Even this latter, lower, figure is theoretically more than sufficient to neutralise the total amount of acid which could be produced. Ochreous deposits on large pieces of limestone would seal off all but the thin surface layer and render the remainder ineffective.

In order to ease the workload on the laboratory, and to produce the results fairly quickly several assumptions were made at various stages, the principle being:-

- That in samples of 0.5% of total sulphur, the pyritic sulphur was 0.9 x Total Sulphur.
- That all "pyritic" sulphur would be oxidised.
- Similarly, that the theoretical A.N.C. obtained in the laboratory would be achieved in practice.
- Average results were taken in porportion to sample thickness, the specific gravity of the materials not being protaken into account.
- Similarly the bulk densities the in-situ matmaterial were assumed.
- In addition no allowance could be made at this stage to the Acid Neutralising Capacity for the effect of long term exposure to possibily slightly acid, peaty water which could occur on site.

However it is felt that these assumptions were reasonable within the context of the exercise and do not invalidate the results which have not only identified potentially acid producing material but have shown possible methods of treatment, should it not prove possible to dispose of this potentially polluting material in such a way as to eliminate contact with water.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the particular site under analysis have shown that, although there are individual strata which have a high pollution potential, the average analysis for the full strata sequence to be excavated show an excess of neutralising capacity i.e. the resultant backfill should not be

polluting. The possibility of acid ferruginous springs forming at this particular site if the groundwater table rebounded to surface on cessation of mining is therefore remote. The strata with the highest acid producing potential were the ironstone bands usually containing both siderite and iron pyrites. Ironstone bands are quite common in coal-bearing strata and at mines where they predominate in the sequence then a quite high overall acid producing potential can result. Quite often the major iron bearing strata has been worked in the past using pillar and stall underground mining methods. The workings allow oxygenated air to circulate round the iron rich cavity walls and pillars resulting in acid ferruginous groundwater flow within the voids that may connect through high walls in the surface mine.

Where a pollution potential has been identified from laboratory analyses then remedial measures will need to be planned for in the mining process. These remedial measures can take the form of either preventing the groundwater from rising through the backfill or physically affecting the fill so that it becomes non-polluting. Much more research is needed in this respect and to be effective should be large-scale, on-site investigations of the different methods available.

Usually the pavement of the excavation area is a fireclay or seatearth occuring below the lowest seam to be worked and this would be naturally impermeable as long as it was not severely disrupted by blasting or vehicular movement. A possible method of reducing pollution would be to induce the groundwater springs to issue at surface either before it reaches the backfilled void or after it has only passed through a small part of it. This could be done by raising the final surface contours on restoration of the site with an impermeable layer of drift superimposed on the fill. However, it is important not to inhibit the flow within the fill by too much as this slower rate would give the groundwater a greater opportunity to pick up polluting chemicals from the macerial.

Bactericides have been used on a small scale in the United States [7] but the authors do not think the method would be cost effective in larger surface coal mining environments.

It would appear that the best method of success would be a permutation of some or all of the above methods and each mine environment would need to be treated as a separate entity.

The research project as outlined in this paper has shown that it is possible to analyse the pollution potential of strata at a proposed future mine site and that the determination of the pyritic content of the material to be excavated can be applied as a matter of routine. Once further research into cost effective remedial matters has been completed then it should be possible to eliminate the possibility of pollution on groundwater rebound by judicious mine planning.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT S

The authors gratefully acknowledge the help and support of their colleages in the National Coal Board, Opencast Executive, in the preparation of this paper. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Coal Board.

REFERENCES

- NORTON P.J. "A Study of Groundwater Control in British Surface Coal Mining" Ph.D. Thesis Nottingham University, 1983.
- CARUCCIO F.T., GEIDEL G. and PELLETIER A., "The Assessment of a Stratums Capacity to Produce Acid Drainage" Symposium of Surface Mining Hydrology and Reclamation, Kentucky, 1980.
- ATKINS A.S. and SINGH R.N. "A Study of Acid and Ferruginous Mine Water in Coal Mining Operations" International Journal of Mine Water Vol. 2 1982.
- DACEY P.W., and COLBURN P., "An Assessment of Methods for the Determination of Iron Pyrites in Coal Mine Spoil" Reclamation Review. Vol 2. 1979.
- COSTIGAN P.A., BRADSHAW A.D., and Gemmel R.P., "The Reclamation of Colliery Spoil". Journal of Applied Ecology 1981.
- British Standards BS106 Part II "Forms of Sulphur in Coal", British Standards House, London.
- KLEINMAN R.L.P. "Bactericidal Control of Acid Problem in Surface Mines and Coal Refuse". Symposium on Surfce Mining Hydrology and Reclamation, Kentucky. 1980.