

Outline

- Background and objectives
- Materials and research program
- Results and discussions
 - Kinetic testing
 - Sorption studies
 - Implications for CND prediction
- Conclusions and perspectives

Background and objectives

- Contaminated neutral drainage (CND): drainage waters with near-neutral pH which contain metal concentrations above regulated limits;
- □ CND production:

3

- $\label{eq:suffice} \blacksquare \ {\sf Sulfide oxidation} \clubsuit \ {\sf Metal}/{\sf acidity generation};$
- \blacksquare Sufficient neutralization ightarrow neutral pH;
- Metal concentrations above regulated limits.
- Dessible metals in CND: As, Ni, Zn, Mo, Sn, Pb...

Background and objectives

- Origin of the study: the Tio mine case (Rio Tinto):
 No CND predicted with lab tests;
 - Sporadic Ni-CND conditions observed over some of the waste rock piles at the mine site after a few decades.
- □ Objectives of the study:
 - Improve knowledge of Ni-generating and Ni-decreasing phenomena within CND-generating waste rock piles;
 - Improve CND prediction for mine waste, particularly for Ni.



Background and objectives

- □ 45 km NE of Havre-Saint-Pierre;
- Operating since 1950;
- World-class massive ilmenite ore.







Background and objectives

Hemo-ilmenite ore:

9

- Hematite: Fe₂O₃;
- Ilmenite: FeTiO₃.
- Anorthositic gangue, mainly calcic plagioclase:
- $\square Na_{0.4}Ca_{0.6}AI_{1.6}Si_{2.4}O_8 \approx \text{ labradorite }.$
- Sulfide traces within the waste rocks:
 pyrite (FeS₂) with Ni traces;
 millerite (NiS).
- Waste rocks may contain as high as 70% residual
- hemo-ilmenite ore.

Background and objectives

- Previous studies on Tio waste rocks:
 - Humidity cells (Bussière et al., 2005):
 No contamination.
 - Sulfide and gangue separation:
 - Geochemical behaviours in weathering cells:

Sulfides •Generate acid; •Generate Ni.

Gangue minerals •Neutralize acid; •Retain Ni; •Limited Ni retention potential.

Materials and research program

Materials and research program

- □ Samples reflect waste rock heterogeneity :
 - Hemo-ilmenite content (between 20 and 60 %);
 Weathering level (fresh WR and WR ≈25 years).
- Various scales of geochemical behaviour prediction:
 - Laboratory (humidity cells, 2 kg; weathering cells, 70 g);
 - Field test pads (30 m³, ≈100 tons);
 - Waste rock pile (approx. 2 745 000 m³).
- Batch and kinetic Ni sorption tests.

12

Materials and research program



Results and discussions

Kinetic testing Sorption studies Implications for CND prediction

Results and discussion

15

17

 Typical results: humidity cells (lab) vs field test pads for fresh and weathered (≈ 25 ans) waste rocks:
 Ni level differences:



Results and discussions

16

- Differences in S concentrations (from sulfide oxidation);
- Similar S production rates in fresh and weathered WR:
- Similar sulfide oxidation rates in fresh and weathered WR: Humidity cells (lab)
 Field test pads



Results and discussions

- □ Waste rock weathering over ≈25 years do not decrease sulfide oxidation rates in the Tio waste rocks;
- Field observations predict CND production on weathered waste rocks (Ni>3mg/L), not on fresh waste rocks (Ni<0,1mg/L);
- Aqueous S and Ni concentrations higher on field scale tests:
- Effect of the liquid/solid ratio, higher in lab tests, which dilutes lab-scale concentrations.
- Lab-scale humidity cell tests inappropriate for CND prediction on waste rock.

Results and discussions

Ni sorption phenomena in waste rocks:

Batch tests:

18

Fresh WR have greater sorption capacities than weathered WR





Conclusions and perspectives

The following conclusions arise from the research program:

- The Tio mine WR generate Ni-CND after a few years (or decades);
- Sorption phenomena control Ni levels in drainage waters;
- ➔ Delay before CND generation at the Tio mine;
- → Humidity cells tests inappropriate for CND prediction.
- Perspectives:

20

- Column tests (lab) for CND prediction;
 - liquid/solid ratio closer to field conditions than humidity cells;
- Sorption phenomena evaluation;

Development of a sorption assessment test :

Sorption capacity vs metal generation capacity

Many thanks!



