Resin Freeze Desalination Process for Acid Recovery

R. Malisa¹, J. P. Maree¹, E. Hardwick², F. S. Oosthuizen³

¹Department of Environmental, Water and Earth Sciences, Faculty of Science, Tshwane University of Technology, Private Bag X680, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa. ²Cwenga Technologies, Edenvale, 1609, South Africa ³Aqua-Simon UG, Rabenslücke 40, 24944 Flensburg, Germany

Abstract Acid streams rich in sulphate and metals such as acid mine drainage are normally neutralized with limestone or lime to produce gypsum and metal hydroxides or oxides as waste products. An innovative resin freeze desalination (RFD) process is described where metals are first removed with a cat ion exchange resin (Lewatit MonoPlus S108), followed by up-concentration of the acid using freeze desalination. It was found that complete iron removal was obtained with water containing (i) 0.7 g/L H₂SO₄ and 390 mg/L Fe²⁺ and (ii) 1.4 g/L H₂SO₄ and 1 056 mg/L Fe³⁺. Both iron species were eluted from the resin using 3 % H₂SO₄. The iron-free, resin treated water was concentrated up from 30 to 42 g/L H₂SO₄ using freeze desalination.

Keywords AMD, cat ion-exchange, freeze desalination, HybridICE, Lewatit monoPlus S108.

Introduction

Effluents from the chemical and mining industries require treatment to reclaim the water for reuse or for discharge into the environment (Adler and Rasher 2007). A general treatment method is to use limestone or lime for neutralization to produce neutral water and, if the water contains sulphate, gypsum sludge which is normally stockpiled (Maree & Van Tonder 2000). Neutralized water can be further treated through desalination using reverse osmosis (RO), electro-dialysis (ED; Amjad 1993; Schoeman and Stevn 2002) or ion-exchange. The brine or regenerant streams produced in these processes requires further treatment before discharge into the environment to meet environmental regulations. The following methods are currently used for brine disposal or treatment: Evaporation ponds, Distillation, Direct contact membrane distillation, Vacuum membrane distillation and Membrane distillation (Younos 2005; Lawson and Lloyd 1997). As these methods are either environmentally unacceptable or costly, the HybridICE technology was developed (Mtombeni et al. 2011).

The main objective of this study was the testing of the novel resin freeze desalination (RFD) process to recover sulphuric acid from acidic and metal rich streams. The RFD process is a combination of, freeze desalination with ion-exchange. It consists of the following steps: (i) Metal removal with an ion-exchange resin, (ii) Concentration of the dilute acid stream after the ion-exchange resin stage through freeze desalination, (iii) regeneration of the resin with the concentrated acid recovered through freeze desalination and, (iv) the remaining acid, which is concentrated further, being recycled to be used elsewhere without neutralization. The benefits of this approach are: (i) Resins are used for the selective removal of metals, (ii) acid is not destroyed through neutralization, but recovered (iii) low energy cost as the energy required to freeze water is seven times less than to evaporate the same volume of water (2500 kJ/kg for evaporation versus 333 kJ/kg for freezing (Shone 1987), (iv) no pre-treatment is required, and (v) reduced brine volume for disposal.

Methods

Synthetic acid mine drainage water was prepared, similar to a typical mine water. Water A contained 0.7 g/L H₂SO₄ and 400 mg/L of Fe (II) and Water B, 1.4 g/L H₂SO₄ and 1085mg/L of Fe (III). Lewatit MonoPlus S108 cat ion resin was used for iron removal from acid solutions. A laboratory scale, HybridICE[™] freeze desalination unit was used for freeze desalination.

The following procedures were followed:

- The resin bed of Lewatit MonoPlus S108, in a glass column (15mm diameter) was prepared in such a way that all air bubbles were eliminated.
- The resin bed was activated by passing three bed-volumes (BV) of 3 % sulphuric acid followed by three BVs of deionised water
- The acid water was fed into the cat ion-exchange column at a flow-rate of 5 mL/min.
- As the acid water passed through the column the metal ions were adsorbed onto the resin (H-R + MSO₄ \rightarrow M-R + H₂SO₄; M = Fe⁺², Fe⁺³)
- The adsorption capacity of the resin was calculated.
- Upon saturation, the resin was regenerated by elution using 3 % H₂SO₄.
- Dilute H₂SO₄ was concentrated through freeze desalination using the HybridICE plant.
- The resin was regenerated with 3 $\%\,H_2SO_4.$

Samples of eluate were collected regularly and filtered (Whatman No 1 glass fibre filter).

Acidity and pH determinations were carried out according to described procedures (APHA *et al.* 1985) and Fe (II) as described in Vogel (Vogel 1989). Total iron was assayed using atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

Metal removal

Table 1 and Figs. 1 and 2 show the results when Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} rich waters were passed through a resin column filled with 50mL of Lewatit MonoPlus S108 resin. Both Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} were removed down to less than 10 mg/L until break-through occurred. The saturation index was calculated to be 3.52 equivalents Fe/L resin for Fe^{2+} (Fig. 1) and 3.21 equivalents Fe/L resin for Fe^{3+} (Fig. 2). This corresponded with the product sheet which claimed > 2 equivalents Fe/L resin (LanXESS 2011). Figs. 3 and 4 show that the saturated resins were completely regenerated with 3 % H₂SO₄,

Table 2 and Fig. 5 show the relationship between amount of resin and iron removal for different contact times as determined during batch studies. In the case of a low resin content of 2.38 mL resin/L water, the iron Fe²⁺-absorption amounted to 2.52 equiv Fe/L resin at a contact time of 10 min, compared to 4.20 eq Fe/L resin at a contact time of 120 min. In a packed bed system during full-scale applications, 10 min contact time can be considered a realistic value. The design can be based on an adsorption capacity of 2.0 eq Fe/L resin, as recommended by the supplier. For counter current systems, where (i) the high metal concentration first encounters with the already loaded resin (to allow maximum utilization of

Parameter		Figu	re		
	Loading		Regeneration		
	1	2	3	4	
Iron species	Fe ²⁺	Fe ³⁺	Fe ²⁺	Fe ³⁺	
Concentration (mg/L) Feed rate (mL/min)	390 13.3	1056 5			
Resin bed volume (mL)	50	50	50	50	Table 1 Experimental condi-
H ₂ SO ₄ (%)			3	3	
Iron adsorbed (g)	4.92	2.71			tions and results of resin
Iron desorbed (g)			2.99	1.54	loading and regeneration
Absorption capacity (equivalents Fe/mL resin)	3.52	3.21	1.94	1.65	results reported in Figs. 1 – 4.





Fig. 1 Iron (II) removal with resin (Bed volume = 50 mL; Fe(II)_{Feed} = 390 mg/L; Feed rate = 13.3 mL/min).



Fig. 3 Regeneration of iron (II) loaded resin with H_2SO_4 (3 %).

Fig. 2 Iron (III) removal with resin (Bed volume = 50 mL; Fe(III)_{Feed} = 1 056 mg/L; Feed rate = 5.0 mL/min).



Fig. 4 Regeneration of iron (111) loaded resin with H_2SO_4 (3 %).

	2.38	7.14	11.90	59.52	238.10	
Time (min)		Iron(II)				
0	390	390	390	390	390	
10	222	111	223	56	56	
30	167	56	56	28	28	
120	111	28	56	28	28	
Time (min)		Fe adsor				
10	2.52	1.40	0.50	0.20	0.05	Table 2 Effect of resin con-
30	3.35	1.68	1.00	0.22	0.05	centration and contact time
120	4.20	1.81	1.00	0.22	0.05	on metal removal.

the resin absorption capacity), and (ii) where the final water is contacted at the end of the contact period with the fresh resin, the design can be based on higher values such as the 4.0 eq Fe/L resin as determined for the longer contact period. In cases where excess amounts of resin were contacted with the 400 mg/L Fe²⁺ water, complete removal was achieved within 10 minutes.

Freeze crystallization

Figs. 6 and 7 show the acid concentrations in the brine and acid when cat ion-exchange resin treated water, containing 30 g/L H_2SO_4 ,



Fig. 5 Effect of resin concentration on Fe²⁺ removal during batch reactions.

was concentrated through removal of water via ice crystallization. Fig. 6 shows the behaviour of acidity versus time and CF_{Flow} (Concentration Factor_{Flow}). The term Concentration Factor_{Flow} is defined as Concentration Factor_{Flow} = Volume Fed/Volume of reactor. It was noted that as CF_{Flow} increased from 1 to 1.9 (corresponding with Time from 0 to 18 h), the Acidity of the brine increased from 30 to 42 g/L (as CaCO₃), while the Acidity in the Ice increased from 0 to 5.5 g/L. When spots of "dry" ice were harvested the Acidity was found to be < 0.5 g/L (as CaCO₃). When selected ice samples were analysed they were found to contain <0.5 g/L acid. By further improvement to the ice separation system, full acid recovery from the brine stream is expected to be achieved.

Fig. 7 shows $CF_{Acidity}$ and CF_{Flow} versus CF_{Flow} . $CF_{Acidity}$ was defined as Acidity of brine with change in or CF_{Flow} (or Time). It was noted that $CF_{Acidity}$ was below CF_{Flow} . This was due to a fraction of the acid remaining in the Ice. Further improvements in ice/brine sepa-

-Feed -Brine -Ice - Time (h) Acidity (mg/L CaCO₃) 0 01 07 07 09 05 02 20 16 lime (h) 12 8 4 0 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 CFFIOW



ration efficiency will result in the values of $CF_{Acidity}$ becoming much closer to CF_{Flow} values.

Conclusions

- 1. Complete iron removal was obtained with waters containing (i) 0.7 g/L H_2SO_4 and 390 mg/L Fe^{2+} and (ii) 1.4 g/L H_2SO_4 and 1 056 mg/L Fe^{3+} .
- **2.** Both iron species were eluted from the resin using $3 \% H_2SO_4$.
- 3. The iron-free, resin treated water was concentrated from 30 to $42 \text{ g/L H}_2\text{SO}_4$ using freeze desalination.

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible with the financial support of the following institutions: The National Research Foundation's Technology & Human Resources for Industry Programme (THRIP), Rand Water and the Tshwane University of Technology.

The contributions of the following people are gratefully acknowledged: Prof. Fritz Carlsson for editing the manuscript. Jackson Makgati and Nomvuselelo Zikalala for assistance with laboratory work.

References

Adler R, and Rascher J (2007) A strategy for the management of acid mine drainage from gold mines in Gauteng. CSIR Report: NRE/PW/ER/2007/0053/C, CSIR, Pretoria.

Amjad Z (1993) Reverse osmosis membrane technol-



Fig. 7 Behaviour of up- concentration of acid during freeze desalination.

ogy, water chemistry and industrial applications. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, USA, 76–103.

- APHA, AWWA and WEF (1985) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 12th Ed., 1985. American Public Health Assoc., New York.
- LanXESS (2011) Product Information: Lewatit Mono-Plus S 108 H, 12 May.
- Lawson KW and Lloyd DR (1997) Membrane distillation. Journal of Membrane Science, 124: 1–25.
- Maree JP and Van Tonder GP (2000) Limestone neutralisation of iron (II) rich acid water.(Paper presented at the Biennial Conference of the Water Institute of Southern Africa, 28 May- 01 June, Sun City, South Africa).
- Mericq, JP., Laborie, S. and Cabassud, C (2009).Vacuum membrane distillation for an integrated seawater desalination process. Desalination and Water Treatment, 9(1–3): 287–296.
- Mtombeni T, Maree JP, Zvinowanda C, Asante J, Oosthuizen FS, Jessen H and Louw WJ (2011) Harnessing refrigeration waste heat to achieve zero liquid dis-

charge by the integrated HybridICE Technology. Paper presented at the 9th International Conference on Clean Technology for the Mining Industry, Paper No 8, p. 19.

- Schoeman JJ and Steyn A (2002) Treatment of a high nitrate concentration ground water with reverse osmosis in a rural area in South Africa. Paper presented at the Biennial Conference of the Water Institute of Southern Africa, May 19–23, Durban, South Africa.
- Shone RDC (1987) The freeze desalination of mine waters. Journal of the South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 87(4): 107–112.
- Vogel AI (1989) Vogel's Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis, 5th Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, p.368.
- Younos T (2005) Environmental issues of desalination. Journal of Contemporary Water Research and Education, 132(1): 11–18.