

PITFALLS OF ABANDONED MINE WORKINGS IN THE SYDNEY COALFIELD: LEGACY ISSUES • INFLUENCING FACTORS REMEDIATION EXAMPLES AECOM Page 2 .

LEGACY - SYDNEY COAL FIELD



LEGACY

- Of abandoned shallow workings of unrecorded location and extent known as crop pits or bootleg pits.
- They are usually located along the crop between the surface and official company workings.
- Such workings pose several pitfalls:
 - i) those affecting public safety e.g. open holes, collapsing ground and flooded pits; and

ii) those impacting groundwater flow e.g. providing pathways for percolation into deeper company workings, or draining interconnecting bootleg workings into streams and wetlands; sometimes with Acid Rock Drainage (ARD).

AECOM *





AECOM





LEGACY - MINING HAZARDS

• Mining hazards related to mine workings include the

following:

*

- > existing unstable ground formed by past subsidence events;
- > unstable ground could potentially develop during/after remediation;
- > unsecured mine openings;
- $\succ\,$ the accidental discharge of untreated acid mine waters into the environment; and
- > release of potentially hazardous & explosive gases (methane) must be identified, detected and controlled

Page 8

AECOM

ECA			
	TALA	RUW	ING

sinkhole subsidence hazard maps

- > for each seam under each site simple guidelines in the ECBC MWP
- > using a ratio (D/M) of seam depth (D) to seam extraction height (M):
 - D/M >0 < 6 = High risk long-term visual monitoring is required (red zone);</p>
 - > D/M >6 < 12 = Moderate risk long-term visual monitoring is suggested (orange zone);
 - > D/M >12 = Low risk long-term visual monitoring is not required (green zone);
 - > D/M infinity i.e. no mining = No risk long-term visual monitoring is not required (green zone)

 *	Page 9	AECOM
	Page 9	AECOM

LEGACY – HAZARD MAPPING



INFLUENCING FACTORS SEAM GEOMETRY 13m Ground surface С в D А TILL TILL 3.0 mbgsl Water level 2.75 mbgsl 6.4 mbgsl 7.3 mbgsl Old Workings * AECOM

Page 11

INFLUENCING FACTORS

SEAM GEOMETRY





Page 12

*

AECOM











REMEDIATION EXAMPLES – III. BOOTLEG PITS





AECOM

Page 18

۲

© by Authors and IMWA

REMEDIATION METHODS

- DO NOTHING
- INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS (Signage & Fencing)
- OPEN HOLES (SHAFTS, SINKHOLES, etc)
 - > Fill using CBDC-ECBC MINE WORKINGS PROTOCOLS
 - Stage 1 Information Gathering;
 Stage 2 Initial Mine Site Investigation;
 - 6
 - Stage 3 The Mine Workings Report; Stage 4 Detailed Mine Site Investigations; ۶
 - Stage 5 Mine Opening Remediation; and Mine Site Monitoring. ⊳
- HUMPS & HOLES
- Rough Grading
- FLOODED HOLES
- ۶ Pump out
- Fill using Mine Workings Protocols
- OTHER

*

Page 19

SUMMARY ABANDONED MINES LEAVE HAZARDS TO PUBLIC • IMPACTS MANY ECBC PROPERTIES • ECBC IMPLEMENTING MINE SITE CLOSURE PROGRAM > Comprehensive frame work for remediation, closure, divestiture > Established Mine Workings Protocols (MWP) Successfully applied to wide variety of abandoned mine hazards SUCCESSFUL REMEDIATION > Old Mine access slopes and shafts (- specific hazards are exposed Sinkholes & Open-holes (filled and backfilled using MWP Bootleg Pits – larger areas cleared, backfilled and regraded Mine Water - provision for ongoing drainage, treatment on a site ۶ specific requirement basis **ONGOING LONG-TERM MONITORING & MAINTENANCE** > Ongoing annual visual monitoring for future differential settlement.

Page 20



Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge ECBC and PWGSC for support in doing and publishing this work.

References

CRA 2008 [Protocols to assist in the remediation of CBDC properties impacted by mine workings].

Peng 1992 [Subsidence Engineering].

*

Page 21

AECOM

AECOM



*

Page 22

AECOM

AECOM